arXiv:1604.00856v1 [math.RA] 4 Apr 2016

# ON QUASI $n$-ABSORBING ELEMENTS OF MULTIPLICATIVE LATTICES

ECE YETKIN CELIKEL

Abstract. In this study, we introduce the concept of quasi $n$-absorbing elements of multiplicative lattices. A proper element $q$ is said to be a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if whenever $a^n b \leq q$ implies that either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$. We investigate properties of this new type of elements and obtain some relations among prime, 2-absorbing, $n$-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we define and study quasi $n$-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices. A multiplicative lattice is a complete lattice $L$ with the least element 0 and compact greatest element 1, on which there is defined a commutative, associative, completely join distributive product for which 1 is a multiplicative identity. Notice that $L(R)$ the set of all ideals of a commutative ring $R$ is a special example for multiplicative lattices which is principally generated, compactly generated and modular. However, there are several examples of non-modular multiplicative lattices (see [1]) Weakly prime ideals [3] were generalized to multiplicative lattices by introducing weakly prime elements in [7]. While 2-absorbing, weakly 2-absorbing ideals in commutative rings, and $n$-absorbing ideals were introduced in [5], [6], and [4], 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices were studied in [10].

We begin by recalling some background material which will be needed. An element $a$ of $L$ is said to be compact if whenever $a \leq \bigvee_{\alpha\in I} a_\alpha$ implies $a \leq \bigvee_{\alpha\in I_0} a_\alpha$ for some finite subset $I_0$ of $I$. By a $C$-lattice we mean a (not necessarily modular) multiplicative lattice which is generated under joins by a multiplicatively closed subset $C$ of compact elements of $L$. We note that in a $C$-lattice, a finite product of compact elements is again compact. Throughout this paper $L$ and $L_*$ denotes a multiplicative lattice and the set of compact elements of the lattice $L$, respectively. An element $a$ of $L$ is said to be proper if $a < 1$. A proper element $p$ of $L$ is said to be prime (resp. weakly prime) if $ab \leq p$ (resp. $0 \neq ab \leq p$) implies either $a \leq p$ or $b \leq p$. If 0 is prime, then $L$ is said to be a domain. A proper element $m$ of $L$ is said to be maximal if $m < x \leq 1$ implies $x = 1$. The jacobson radical of a lattice $L$ is defined as $J(L) = \wedge\{m : m \text{ is a maximal element of } L\}$. $L$ is said to be quasi-local if it contains a unique maximal element. If $L = \{0, 1\}$, then $L$ is called a field. For

*Date*: March 2, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15.

*Key words and phrases.* 2-absorbing elements, n-absorbing elements, multiplicative lattices, quasi $n$-absorbing elements.

$a \in L$, we define radical of $a$ as $\sqrt{a} = \wedge\{p \in L : p \text{ is prime and } a \leq p\}$. Note that in a $C$-lattice $L$, $\sqrt{a} = \wedge\{p \in L : p \text{ is prime and } a \leq p\} = \vee\{x \in L_* \mid x^n \leq a \text{ for some } n \in Z^+\}$ by (Theorem 3.6 of [12]). The nilpotent elements of $L$ is the set of $Nil(L) = \sqrt{0}$. $C$-lattices can be localized. For any prime element $p$ of $L$, $L_p$ denotes the localization at $F = \{x \in C \mid x \not\leq p\}$. For details on $C$-lattices and their localization theory, the reader is referred to [9] and [11]. An element $e \in L$ is said to be principal [8], if it satisfies the dual identities (i) $a \wedge be = ((a : e) \wedge b)e$ and (ii) $(ae \vee b) : e = (b : e) \vee a$. Elements satisfying the identity (i) are called meet principal and elements satisfying the identity (ii) are called join principal. Note that by [8, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4], a finite product of meet (join) principal elements of $L$ is again meet (join) principal. If every element of $L$ is principal, then $L$ is called a principal element lattice which is studied in [2].

Recall from [10] that a proper element $q$ of $L$ is called a 2-absorbing (resp. weakly 2-absorbing) element of $L$ if whenever $a, b, c \in L$ with $abc \leq q$ (resp. $0 \neq abc \leq q$), then either $ab \leq q$ or $ac \leq q$ or $bc \leq q$. We say that $(a, b, c)$ is a triple zero element of $q$ if $abc = 0$, $ab \not\leq q$, $ac \not\leq q$ and $bc \not\leq q$. Observe that if $q$ is a weakly 2-absorbing element which is not a 2-absorbing, then there exist a triple zero of $q$. As a generalization of 2-absorbing elements, a proper element $q$ of $L$ is called a $n$-absorbing (resp. weakly $n$-absorbing) element of $L$ if whenever $a_1a_2...a_{n+1} \leq q$ ( resp. $0 \neq a_1a_2...a_{n+1} \leq q$) for some $a_1a_2...a_{n+1} \in L_*$ implies that $a_1a_2...a_{k-1}a_{k+1}...a_{n+1} \leq q$ for some $k = 1, ..., n + 1$.

## 2. Quasi $n$-absorbing and weakly quasi $n$-absorbing elements

Let $L$ be a multiplicative lattice and $n$ be a positive integer. In this section, we introduce quasi $n$-absorbing and weakly quasi $n$-absorbing elements of $L$ and investigate their basic properties.

**Definition 1.** *Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$.*

(1) $q$ is said to be a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if whenever $a^nb \leq q$ for some $a, b \in L_*$ implies that either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$.
(2) $q$ is said to be a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if whenever $0 \neq a^nb \leq q$ for some $a$, $b \in L_*$ implies that either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$.

We can obtain some relations among the concepts of prime, 2-absorbing, $n$-absorbing, quasi $n$-absorbing elements and weakly quasi $n$-absorbing elements by the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$ and $n \geq 1$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) $q$ is a prime element of $L$ if and only if $q$ is a quasi 1-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) $q$ is a weakly prime element of $L$ if and only if $q$ is a weakly quasi 1-absorbing element of $L$.
(3) If $q$ is $n$-absorbing, then $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(4) If $q$ is quasi $n$-absorbing, then $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(5) If $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, then $q$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$ for all $m \geq n$.

(6) If $q$ is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing, then $q$ is a weakly quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$ for all $m \geq n$.

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are clear from definition 1. Since (4) and (6) can be shown very similar to (3) and (5), respectively, it is sufficient to prove (3) and (5) only.

(3) Suppose that $q$ is a $n$-absorbing element of $L$ and assume that $a^n b \leq q$ for some $a, b \in L_*$. Then $\underbrace{a...a}_{n \text{ times}} b \leq q$ implies that either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$, we are done.

(5) Suppose that $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, and let $a, b \in L_*$ with $a^m b \leq q$ for some $m \geq n$. Hence $a^n(a^{m-n}b) \leq q$. Since $q$ is quasi $n$-absorbing, we have either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}(a^{m-n}b) \leq q$. It means either $a^m \leq q$ or $a^{m-1}b \leq q$. This shows that $q$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$. □

We have the following corollary as a direct result of Theorem 1:

**Corollary 1.** *Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$.*

(1) If $q$ is a prime element of $L$, then $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(2) If $q$ is weakly prime, then $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(3) If $q$ is a 2-absorbing element of $L$, then $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ for all $n \geq 2$.
(4) If $q$ is weakly 2-absorbing, then $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ for all $n \geq 2$.

*Proof.* (1), (2) Since a (weakly) prime element of $L$ is exactly a (weakly) quasi 1-absorbing element of $L$, this result is clear by 1 (5), (6).

(3), (4) Since a (weakly) 2-absorbing element of $L$ is a (weakly) quasi 2-absorbing element of $L$, so we are done by again (5), (6). □

However the converses of these relations above are not true in general.

**Example 1.** *Consider the lattice of ideals of the ring of integers $L = L(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that the element $30\mathbb{Z}$ of $L$ is a quasi 2-absorbing element, and so quasi $n$-absorbing element for all $n \geq 2$ by Corollary 1, but it is not a 2-absorbing element of $L$ by Theorem 2.6 in* [7].

**Proposition 1.** *Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) $(q : a^n) = (q : a^{n-1})$ where $a \in L_*$, $a^n \not\leq q$.

In paticular 0 is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if and only if for each $a \in L_*$, $a^n = 0$ or $ann(a^n) = ann(a^{n-1})$.

*Proof.* It is clear from Definition 1. □

Notice that if $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element which is not quasi $n$-absorbing, then there are some elements $a$, $b \in L_*$ such that $a^n b = 0$, $a^n \not\leq q$ and $a^{n-1}b \not\leq q$. We call the elements $(a, b)$ with this property as a quasi $n$-zero element of $q$. Notice that a zero divisor element of $L$ is a quasi 1-zero element of $0_L$, and $(a, a, b)$ is a triple zero element of $q$ if and only if $(a, b)$ is a quasi 2-zero element of $q$.

**Theorem 2.** *Let $q$ be a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$. If $(a,b)$ is a quasi $n$-zero element of $q$ for some $a,b \in L_*$, then $a^n \in ann(q)$ and $b^n \in ann(q)$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $a^n \notin ann(q)$. Hence $a^n q_1 \neq 0$ for some $q_1 \in L_*$ where $q_1 \leq q$. It follows $0 \neq a^n(b \vee q_1) \leq q$. Since $a^n \nleq q$, and $q$ is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing, we conclude that $a^{n-1}(b \vee q_1) \leq q$. So $a^{n-1}b \leq q$, a contradiction. Thus $a^n q = 0$, and so $a^n \in ann(q)$. Similarly we conclude that $b^n \in ann(q)$. □

**Theorem 3.**

(1) Let $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a family of prime elements of $L$, then $\bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} p_\lambda$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element for all $m \geq 2$.
(2) Let $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a family of weakly prime elements of $L$, then $\bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} p_\lambda$ is a weakly quasi $m$-absorbing element for all $m \geq 2$.

*Proof.* (1) To prove this argument above, we need to verify that $\bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} p_\lambda$ is a quasi 2-absorbing element of $L$ by Corollary 1 (3). Let $a,b \in L_*$ with $a^2b \leq \bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} p_\lambda$. Since $a^2b \leq p_i$ for all $p_i$ prime elements, we have $a \leq p_i$ or $b \leq p_i$. Thus $ab \leq p_i$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$ and so $ab \leq \bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} p_\lambda$, which completes the proof.

(2) It can be easily obtained that $\bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} p_\lambda$ is a weakly quasi 2-absorbing element by the similar argument in (1). Consequently it is a weakly quasi $m$-absorbing element or all $m \geq 2$ by Corollary 1 (4). □

**Corollary 2.** *Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$. Then $\sqrt{q}$, $Nil(L)$ and $Jac(L)$ are quasi $n$-absorbing elements of $L$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

*Proof.* It is clear from Theorem 3. □

**Theorem 4.** *Let $L$ be a totally ordered lattice and $m$ a positive integer.*

(1) If $\{q_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a family of quasi $m$-absorbing elements of $L$, then $\bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q_\lambda$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) If $\{q_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a family of weakly quasi $m$-absorbing elements of $L$, then $\bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q_\lambda$ is a weakly quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$.

*Proof.* (1) Assume that $\{q_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is an ascending chain and $a^m \nleq \bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q_\lambda$and $a^{m-1}b \nleq \bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q_\lambda$. We show that $a^m b \nleq \bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q_\lambda$. Hence $a^m \nleq q_j$ and $a^{m-1}b \nleq q_k$ for some $j,k = 1, ..., n$. Put $t = \min\{j,k\}$. Then $a^m \nleq q_t$ and $a^{m-1}b \nleq q_t$. Since $q_t$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element, it follows $a^m b \nleq q_t$. Thus $a^m b \nleq \bigwedge\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda} q_\lambda$, we are done.

(2) It can be easily shown similar to (1). □

(1) If $q_1,...,q_n$ are quasi $m_i$-absorbing elements of $L$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, then $\bigwedge\limits_{i=1}^{n} q_i$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$ where $m = \max\{m_1, ..., m_n\} + 1$.

(2) If $q_1,...,q_n$ are weakly quasi $m_i$-absorbing elements of $L$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, then $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_i$ is a weakly quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$ where $m = \max\{m_1, ..., m_n\}+1$.

*Proof.* (1) Let $a, b \in L_*$ such that $a^m b \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_i$. Hence $a^{m_i} \leq q_i$ or $a^{m_i-1}b \leq q_i$ for all $i = 1, .., n$. Now assume that $a^m \not\leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_i$. Without loss generality we can suppose that $a^{m_i} \leq q_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j$, and $a^{m_i} \not\leq q_i$ for all $j+1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence we have $a^{m_i-1}b \leq q_i$ for all $j+1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we get clearly $a^{m-1}b \leq q_i$ for $m = \max\{m_1, ..., m_n\} + 1$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $a^{m-1}b \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_i$, so we are done.

(2) The proof is obtained similar to (1). □

If $x \in L$, the interval $[x, 1]$ is denoted $L/x$. The elemets of $\overline{a}$ and $L/x$ is again a multiplicative lattice with $\overline{a} \circ \overline{b} = ab \vee x$ for all $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in L/x$.

**Theorem 5.** *Let $x$ and $q$ be proper elements of $L$ with $x \leq q$.*

(1) If $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, then $\overline{q}$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L/x$.
(2) If $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, then $\overline{q}$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L/x$.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that $\overline{a} = a \vee x$, $\overline{b} = b \vee x \in L$ with $\overline{a}^n\overline{b} \leq \overline{q}$. Then $a^n b \vee x \leq q$, and so $a^n b \leq q$. Since $q$ is quasi 2-absorbing, we get either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$. Thus $\overline{a}^n = (a \vee x)^n \leq \overline{q}$ or $\overline{a}^{n-1}\overline{b} = (a \vee x)^{n-1}(b \vee x) \leq \overline{q}$, as needed.

(2) Let $\overline{a} = a \vee x$, $\overline{b} = b \vee x \in L$ with $x \neq \overline{a}^n\overline{b} \leq \overline{q}$. Then $x \neq a^n b \vee x \leq q$, and so $0 \neq a^n b \leq q$. Since $q$ is weakly quasi 2-absorbing, we conclude that either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$. Consequently, $\overline{a}^n = (a \vee x)^n \leq \overline{q}$ or $\overline{a}^{n-1}\overline{b} = (a \vee x)^{n-1}(b \vee x) \leq \overline{q}$, we are done. □

Recall that any $C$-lattice can be localized at a multiplicatively closed set. Let $L$ be a $C$-lattice and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $L_*$.Then for $a \in L$, $a_S = \vee\{x \in L_* : xs \leq a \text{ for some } s \in S\}$ and $L_S = \{a_S : a \in L\}$. $L_S$ is again a multiplicative lattice under the same order as $L$ with the product $a_S \circ b_s = (a_S b_S)_S$ where the right hand side is evaluated in $L$. If $p \in L$ is prime and $S = \{x \in L_* : x \not\leq p\}$, then $L_S$ is denoted by $L_p$. [9]

**Theorem 6.** *Let $m$ be a maximal element of $L$ and $q$ be a proper element of $L$.*

(1) If $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, then $q_m$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_m$.
(2) If $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, then $q_m$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_m$.

*Proof.* (1) Let $a, b \in L_*$ such that $a_m^n b_m \leq q_m$. Hence $ua^n b \leq q$ for some $u \not\leq m$. It implies that $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}(ub) \leq q$. Since $u_m = 1_m$, we get $a_m^n \leq q_m$ or $a_m^{n-1}b_m \leq q_m$, we are done.

(2) It can be verified by the similar argument in (1). □

**Theorem 7.** *Let $L$ be a principal element lattice. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) Every proper element of $L$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) For every $a$, $b \in L_*$, $a^n = ca^nb$ or $a^{n-1}b = da^nb$ for some $c$, $d \in L$.
(3) For all $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n+1} \in L_*$, $(a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge ... \wedge a_n)^n \leq ca_1a_2...a_{n+1}$ or $(a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge ... \wedge a_n)^{n-1}a_{n+1} \leq da_1a_2...a_{n+1}$ for some $c$, $d \in L$.

*Proof.* (1)$\Leftrightarrow$(2) Suppose that every proper element of $L$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$. Hence $a^nb \leq (a^nb)$ implies that $a^n \leq (a^nb)$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq (a^nb)$. Since $L$ is a principal element lattice, there is some element $c \in L$ with $a^n = ca^nb$ or there is some element $d \in L$ with $a^{n-1}b = da^nb$. The converse is clear.

(2)$\Rightarrow$(3) Put $a = a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge ... \wedge a_n$ and $b = a_{n+1}$. Hence the result follows from (2).

(3)$\Rightarrow$(2) For all $a, b \in L_*$, we can write $a^n = (\underbrace{a \wedge a \wedge ... \wedge a}_{n \text{ times}}) \leq ca^nb$ or $a^{n-1}b = (\underbrace{a \wedge a \wedge ... \wedge a}_{n-1 \text{ times}})b \leq da^nb$. □

**Theorem 8.** *Let $L = L_1 \times L_2$ where $L_1$ and $L_2$ are $C$-lattices. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) $q_1$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_1$ if and only if $(q_1, 1_{L_2})$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) $q_2$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_2$ if and only if $(1_{L_1}, q_2)$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that $q_1$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_1$. Let $(a_1, a_2)^n(b_1, b_2) \leq (q_1, 1_{L_2})$ for some $a_1$, $b_1 \in L_{1_*}$ and $a_2$, $b_2 \in L_{2_*}$. Then $a_1^nb_1 \leq q_1$ implies that either $a_1^n \leq q_1$ or $a_1^{n-1}b_1 \leq q_1$. It follows either $(a_1, a_2)^n \leq (q_1, 1_{L_2})$ or $(a_1, a_2)^{n-1}(b_1, b_2) \leq (q_1, 1_{L_2})$. Thus $(q_1, 1_{L_2})$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$. Conversely suppose that $(q_1, 1_{L_2})$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ and $a^nb \leq q_1$ for some $a, b \in L_{1_*}$. Hence $(a, 1_{L_2})^n(b, 1_{L_2}) \leq (q_1, 1_{L_2})$ which implies that either $(a, 1_{L_2})^n \leq (q_1, 1_{L_2})$ or $(a, 1_{L_2})^{n-1}(b, 1_{L_2}) \leq (q_1, 1_{L_2})$. So $a_1^n \leq q_1$ or $a_1^{n-1}b_1 \leq q_1$, as needed.

(2) It can be verified easily similar to (1). □

**Theorem 9.** *Let $L = L_1 \times ... \times L_k$ where $L_i$ s are $C$-lattices for all $i = 1, ..., k$. If $q_i$ is a quasi $n_i$-absorbing element of $L_i$ for all $i = 1, ..., k$, then $(q_1, ..., q_k)$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$ where $m = \max\{n_1,...,n_k\} + 1$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $(a_1, ..., a_k)^m(b_1, ..., b_k) \leq (q_1, ..., q_k)$ for $(a_1, ..., a_k), (b_1, ..., b_k) \in L_*$ and $m = \max\{n_1,...,n_k\} + 1$. Hence $a_i^mb_i = a_i^{n_i}(a_i^{m-n_i}b_i) \leq q_i$ for all $i = 1, .., k$. Since each $q_i$ is a quasi $n_i$-absorbing element, we have either $a_i^{n_i} \leq q_i$ or $a_i^{m-1}b_i = a_i^{n_i-1}(a_i^{m-n_i}b_i) \leq q_i$ for all $i = 1, .., k$. If $a_i^{n_i} \leq q_i$ for all $i = 1, ..., k$, then $(a_1, ..., a_k)^m \leq (q_1, ..., q_k)$. Without loss generality, suppose that $a_i^{n_i} \leq q_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j$ and $a_i^{m-1}b_i \leq q_i$ for all $j + 1 \leq i \leq k$, for some $j = 1, ..k$. Thus $(a_1, ..., a_k)^{m-1}(b_1, ..., b_k) \leq (q_1, ..., q_k)$, so we are done. □

**Definition 2.** *A proper element $q$ of $L$ is said to be a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if whenever $a, b \in L$ (not necessarily compact) with $a^nb \leq q$ implies that either $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$.*

It is clearly seen that every strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ is quasi $n$-absorbing.

**Theorem 10.** *Let $L$ be a principal element lattice. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) Every proper element of $L$ is a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) For all $a, b \in L$, $a^n = a^n b$ or $a^{n-1}b = a^n b$,
(3) $(a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge ... \wedge a_n)^n \leq a_1 a_2 ... a_{n+1}$ or $(a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge ... \wedge a_n)^{n-1} a_{n+1} \leq a_1 a_2 ... a_{n+1}$ for all $a_1$, $a_2$, ..., $a_{n+1} \in L$.

*Proof.* This can be easily shown using the similar argument in Theorem 7. □

**Theorem 11.** *Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) If $a^n b \leq q \leq a \wedge b$ where $a, b \in L$ implies that $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$, then $q$ is a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) If $a_1 a_2 ... a_{n+1} \leq q \leq a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge ... \wedge a_{n+1}$ where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n+1} \in L$ implies that $a_1 ... a_{i-1} a_{i+1} ... a_{n+1} \leq q$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ then $q$ is a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.

*Proof.* (1) Let $x, y \in L$ with $x^n y \leq q$. We show that $x^n \leq q$ or $x^{n-1}y \leq q$. Now put $a = x \vee q$ and $b = y \vee q$. Hence we conclude $a^n b \leq q \leq a \wedge b$, and so $a^n \leq q$ or $a^{n-1}b \leq q$ by (1). It follows $x^n \leq q$ or $x^{n-1}y \leq q$.
(2) It can be easily verified similar to (1). □

## References


[1] F. Alarcon and D. D. Anderson, Commutative semirings and their lattices of ideals, Houston Journal of Mathematics, **20** (1994), 571-590.
[2] D. D. Anderson and C. Jayaram, Principal element lattices, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **46** (1996), 99-109 .
[3] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, Houston Journal of Mathematics, **29** (2003), 831-840.
[4] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, On $n$-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Communications in Algebra, **39** (2011), 1646-1672.
[5] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75** (2007), 417-429.
[6] A. Badawi and A.Y. Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Houston Journal of Mathematics, **39** (2013), 441-452.
[7] F. Callialp, C. Jayaram, U. Tekir, Weakly prime elements in multiplicative lattices, Communications in Algebra, **40** (2012), 2825-2840.
[8] R. P. Dilworth, Abstract commutative ideal theory, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **12** (1962), 481-498.
[9] C. Jayaram, E.W Johnson, s-prime elements in multiplicative lattices. Periodica Mathematica Hungarica. **31** (1995), 201-208 .
[10] C. Jayaram, U. Tekir, E. Yetkin, 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices, Communications in Algebra, **42** (2014), 2338-2353.
[11] J.A. Johnson and G. R. Sherette, Structural properties of a new class of CM-lattices. Canadian Journal of Mathematics. **38** (1986) 552-562.
[12] N. K. Thakare, C. S. Manjarekar, S. Maeda, Abstract spectral theory II, Minimal characters and minimal spectrums of multiplicative lattices. Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged). **52** (1988), 53-67



Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey
*E-mail address*: yetkin@gantep.edu.tr